

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/611,871	KONYA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
DANIEL F. HAJNIK	2628		
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>Allowed</u>		
(1) DANIEL F. HAJNIK.	(3) _____.		
(2) Robert Downs.	(4) _____.		
Date of Interview: <u>21 October 2010</u>	Time: <u>10:30AM</u>		
Type of Interview:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephonic <input type="checkbox"/> Video Conference <input type="checkbox"/> Personal (Copy given to: <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant's representative)		
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	If Yes, provide a brief description: .		
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:			
Claims discussed: 7, 10, 13, 16, 29, and 32-35			
Prior art documents discussed:			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:			
Examiner contacted applicant to propose an examiner's amendment to put the dependent claims into allowable form after the BPAI decision. Applicant agreed to proposed changes.			
Part III.			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. <input type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.			
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)			